REVIEW ARTICLE GUIDELINES

A review article is a scientific text relying on previously published literature or data. New data from the author’s experiments are not presented (with exceptions: some reviews contain new data).

The following are the three types of review article.

- **Narrative review**
  Selected studies are compared and summarized on the basis of the author’s experience, existing theories and models. Results are based on a qualitative level rather than a quantitative level.

- **Best evidence review**
  A focus on selected studies is combined with systematic methods of study-selection and result exploration.

- **Systematic review**
  Findings from various individual studies are analyzed statistically by strict procedures. Meta-Analyses are used to pool the results of individual studies.

**Length of the review article**

The length of the review article should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

**ELEMENTS OF A REVIEW ARTICLE**

**Title**
- The title must be informative, short and include important terms.
- It has to indicate that the text is a review article.
- It may include the message of the article.

**Abstract**

Informs about the main objectives and result of the review article or indicates the text structure.

1) **Objectives:** One or two sentences describe the context and intention of the review.
2) **Material and methods:** One or a few sentences provide a general picture of the methodological approach.
3) **Results:** A few sentences describe main outcomes.
4) **Conclusions:** One or two sentences present the conclusion which is linked to the objectives. Length should be between 200 to 250 words.

**Introduction**

Provides information about the context, indicates the motivation for the review, defines the focus, the research question and explains the text structure.

1) **Subject background.** The general topic, issue, or area of concern is given to illustrate the context.
2) **Problems.** Trends, new perspectives, gaps, conflicts, or a single problem is indicated.
3) **Motivation/justification.** The author’s reason for reviewing the literature, the approach and the organisation of the text are described. Length should be between 10% and 20% of the core text (introduction, body, conclusions).
Body: Material and Methods

Systematic and best evidence reviews have a methods section. This section enables motivated researches to repeat the review. Narrative reviews do not have a methods section but should include some information about applied methods at the end of the introduction. The material and methods section contains for example information about: data sources (e.g. bibliographic databases), search terms and search strategies, selection criteria (inclusion/exclusion of studies), the number of studies screened and the number of studies included, statistical methods of metaanalysis etc. Length should be approximately 5% of the core text (introduction, body, conclusions).

Paragraph structure

• Cover one idea, aspect or topic per paragraph.
• Avoid referring to only one study per paragraph; consider several studies per paragraph instead.
• Link the studies to one another. Compare and discuss these relationships.
  Length should be 70 to 90% of the core text (introduction, body, conclusions).

Conclusions

Answers the research question set in the introduction. It should provide the following
• implications of the findings
• interpretations by the authors
• identification of unresolved questions
  Length should be 5 to 10% of the core text (introduction, body, conclusions).
  Make sure your conclusions are not simply a repeat of the abstract!
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